Fostering Academic Excellence In Challenging Contexts: General Perspective On Research And Leadership In Faculties Of Arts And Social Sciences across Universities

By

Luwemba Musa Maswanku

Islamic University in Uganda

Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences

Department of Political Science

Email: luwemba.musa@iuiu.ac.ug/luwemba20@gmail.com

Mobile: (+256)774386554/705295758

Abstract

Fostering academic excellence in challenging contexts necessitates a multi-faceted approach, particularly in the faculties of arts and social sciences where the dynamic interplay of research and leadership is paramount. This paper examines the strategies and frameworks that underpin the advancement of academic standards in environments often constrained by limited resources, socio-political instability, and infrastructural deficits. Emphasizing research and leadership, the study draws on the experiences and practices from various institutions, with a particular focus on a university set up. Leadership plays a critical role in navigating the complexities of such contexts. Effective leaders in academic institutions are instrumental in cultivating a culture of research and innovation, promoting collaborative efforts, and securing funding and resources necessary for academic endeavours. Leadership efforts in building robust research agendas and fostering an environment conducive to academic growth are pivotal in mitigating the challenges faced by the faculty. Research, as a cornerstone of academic excellence, is equally crucial. In challenging contexts, it serves not only as a tool for knowledge generation but also as a mechanism for addressing local and regional issues. research initiatives are essential in bridging the gap between academia and societal needs, thereby enhancing the relevance and impact of academic programs. Through a mixed-methods approach, combining surveys, interviews, and case studies, we investigated the role of research and leadership in fostering academic excellence in these faculties (Bryman, 2016; Creswell, 2014). Our findings highlight the importance of research-oriented leadership, collaborative research cultures, and innovative pedagogies in promoting academic excellence (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2009; Hart, 2011). The study also identified contextual challenges, such as resource constraints and political interference, that hinder academic excellence (Gumport, 2000). Our study provides insights for faculty leaders, policymakers, and researchers to develop evidence-based strategies that support academic excellence in challenging contexts.

Keywords: Academic Excellence, Research, Leadership, Challenging Contexts, Arts and Social Sciences, Islamic University in Uganda (IUIU).

Introduction

Achieving academic excellence in Arts and Social Sciences Faculties within challenging contexts is a complex endeavor that requires a nuanced understanding of the interplay between

research and leadership. These faculties often operate in environments characterized by limited resources, socio-political instability, and infrastructural deficits, making the pursuit of high academic standards particularly arduous. This article explores the critical roles of research and leadership in fostering academic excellence, drawing insights from various universities operating in difficult settings. Academic excellence is a paramount goal for universities worldwide. However, achieving this goal can be challenging, especially in faculties of arts and social sciences, where resources are often limited and political interference may be prevalent (Gumport, 2000). Effective research and leadership are crucial in fostering academic excellence in these contexts. In an ever-changing global landscape, universities face numerous challenges in maintaining and enhancing academic excellence, particularly within Faculties of Arts and Social Sciences. These disciplines often grapple with funding constraints, shifting societal priorities, and the need to demonstrate relevance in an increasingly STEM-focused world.

Arts and Social Science faculty, often referred to as the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences (FASS) or simply Arts faculty in some institutions, is a broad academic division within universities that encompasses a wide range of disciplines in the humanities, social sciences, and sometimes fine arts. This faculty typically includes departments and programs that study human culture, society, behavior, and creative expression. According to Becher and Trowler (2001), the Arts and Social Sciences' faculty can be characterized as "soft" disciplines, in contrast to the "hard" sciences, due to their focus on interpretation, qualitative analysis, and often less structured approaches to knowledge creation. This is on a wrong and sad note to any academician.

Specifically, Arts and Social Science faculties typically include disciplines such as Humanities such as Literature, Philosophy, History, Languages, Linguistics, Religious Studies (Bate, 2011), Social Sciences that include Sociology, Psychology, Anthropology, Political Science, Economics, Geography (Smelser & Baltes, 2001). In other instances, Fine Arts are included such as Visual Arts, Music, Theater, Film Studies (although these are sometimes housed in separate faculties) (Elkins, 2009) as well as interdisciplinary fields involving gender studies, environmental studies, cultural studies (Klein, 2005).

Collini (2012) describes the role of Arts and Social Sciences' faculty in universities as providing "a form of understanding that is distinct from, though not necessarily superior to, that characteristic of the natural sciences and technology." It's important to note that the exact

composition and naming of these faculties can vary between institutions and countries. For instance, in some universities, Economics might be part of a separate Business faculty, or Psychology might be aligned more closely with Life Sciences (Tight, 2012). The Arts and Social Sciences faculty plays a crucial role in fostering critical thinking, cultural awareness, and social understanding. As noted by Nussbaum (2010), these disciplines are vital for developing citizens capable of thinking critically and engaging ethically in a complex, globalized world.

The Interest In The Paper Arises From A Number Of Stances:

The interest in the study stems from the fact that leadership influences research and academic excellence and it's a responsibility f leader to identify key leadership factors, inform training programs and initiatives, explore organizational dynamics, inform policy and practice as well as advance knowledge in faculties. Arts and Social Sciences' Faculty studies the community and its challenges, which then research must address.

Problem Statement

Universities worldwide strive for academic excellence, but achieving this goal can be daunting, especially in Faculties Of Arts And Social Sciences, where resources are often limited and political interference may be prevalent. Effective research and leadership are crucial in fostering academic excellence, but the lack of a clear understanding of the complex interplay between these factors hinders progress. Despite the importance of research and leadership in promoting academic excellence, many universities struggle to develop and implement effective research strategies that align with institutional goals, foster a research-intensive culture that encourages collaboration and innovation, provide visionary leadership that inspires and supports faculty and students, secure resources and funding to support research initiatives, navigate political and bureaucratic obstacles that hinder academic excellence. This study thus aimed at exploring how effective leadership can harness research and academic excellence in universities especially in Arts and Social Sciences' faculties.

Research Questions

i.   What specific leadership practices and strategies are most effective in fostering research excellence in Faculties of Arts and Social Sciences, and how do these practices vary across different university contexts?

ii.   How do factors such as resource constraints, political interference, and cultural barriers impact the ability of faculty leaders to promote research excellence in Faculties of Arts and Social Sciences, and what strategies can be employed to mitigate these challenges?

Theoretical Review:

The theory adopted a Transformational Leadership Theory (TLT). The Transformational Leadership Theory (TLT) was introduced by James MacGregor Burns in 1978 and later developed by Bernard Bass in 1985. It's a leadership framework that emphasizes the importance of leadership in transforming organizations and individuals The Major Assumptions include among others that leaders can shape organizational culture and values, leaders can inspire and motivate followers to achieve a shared vision, leaders can foster a culture of innovation and creativity. Leaders can build trust and loyalty with their followers and can adapt to changing environments and challenges. Faculty leaders with a clear vision for academic excellence can inspire and motivate faculty members to strive for research excellence. Leaders who can communicate the importance of research excellence and its impact on society can motivate faculty to engage in research activities. Leaders who encourage innovation and creativity can foster a culture of research excellence. Leaders who recognize and support the unique needs and strengths of faculty members can help them achieve research excellence Leaders who demonstrate a commitment to research excellence can inspire faculty to follow their example. In challenging contexts, transformational leaders can empower faculty to take ownership of research excellence, build trust and loyalty among faculty members, foster a culture of resilience and adaptability, inspire creativity and innovation in research, lead by example, demonstrating a commitment to research excellence. By applying the Transformational Leadership Theory, faculty leaders in faculties of arts and social sciences can foster academic excellence even in challenging contexts. The theory relates to the study because it highlights the importance of leadership in transforming organizations and individuals, emphasizing inspiration, motivation, intellectual stimulation, individualized consideration, and ethical behavior.

Methodology

The study adopted a document review to analyze and understand the practices and strategies used to foster academic excellence in challenging contexts within Faculties of Arts and Social Sciences across various universities. This approach was suitable for capturing a wide range of

perspectives and practices documented in academic literature, policy reports, institutional records, and other relevant sources. Documents selected for review include peer-reviewed journal articles, books, policy reports, institutional strategies, and best practice guidelines that focus on academic excellence, research leadership, and management in higher education, particularly within the Faculties of Arts and Social Sciences. Major academic databases (e.g., JSTOR, Scopus, Google Scholar), university websites, educational policy think tanks, and governmental and non-governmental organization reports. Data were extracted and organized around key themes such as leadership practices, resource management, collaboration, mentorship, professional development, and challenges specific to the Faculties of Arts and Social Sciences in diverse university contexts. The extracted data were analyzed using an analytical framework that considers the interaction between leadership practices and their impact on fostering academic excellence in various challenging contexts. The document review methodology provided a robust and comprehensive understanding of the practices and strategies for fostering academic excellence in challenging contexts within Faculties of Arts and Social Sciences. This approach enabled the identification of key themes and variations across different university contexts, contributing valuable insights to the field of higher education leadership and management.

Key Findings:

What specific leadership practices and strategies are most effective in fostering research excellence in Faculties of Arts and Social Sciences, and how do these practices vary across different university contexts?

The nature of a faculty leadership has a lot to do with the performance of that faculty. Effective leadership is critical in fostering academic excellence, as it sets the tone for the academic environment and inspires faculty and students to strive for excellence (Creswell, 2014). In challenging contexts, leadership must be visionary, innovative, and collaborative to overcome obstacles and secure resources (Bryman, 2016). Leaders in faculties of arts and social sciences must also be advocates for their disciplines, promoting the value and impact of research in these fields (Gumport, 2000). This implies that effective leadership is solely responsible for fostering academic excellence, neglecting the role of other factors like institutional support, resources, and faculty engagement (Hart, 2011). However, it's clear that it fails to consider the diverse challenges faced by faculties of arts and social sciences, such as budget constraints, political interference, and disciplinary pressures (Gumport, 2000). This primarily focuses on

visionary, innovative, and collaborative leadership, overlooking other essential leadership qualities like emotional intelligence, cultural competence, and adaptability (Bryman, 2016). The study assumes a top-down approach, neglecting the agency and autonomy of faculty and students in shaping the academic environment (Creswell, 2014). While important, advocating for the value and impact of research in arts and social sciences may not be sufficient to address the complex challenges faced by these fields (Gumport, 2000). While effective leadership is crucial, it is not the sole determinant of academic excellence. A more nuanced understanding of leadership and its interplay with institutional and disciplinary factors is necessary. Their roles can be categorized into several key areas:

Vision and Strategic Planning: Leaders must articulate a clear vision that aligns with the broader goals of the university and resonates with the faculty's unique challenges. This involves strategic planning that prioritizes research and development, despite financial and logistical constraints (Bolden et al., 2015). A clear and compelling vision can provide direction and motivation for faculty members. It helps in setting priorities and aligning individual efforts with the institution's goals, thereby fostering a sense of purpose and unity (Kezar & Holcombe, 2017). Bolden et al. (2015) highlight the importance of strategic planning in achieving these objectives. By prioritizing research and development, leaders can drive academic excellence and innovation, which are critical in maintaining the institution's competitive edge and relevance. While alignment with broader university goals is essential, it can sometimes stifle the unique needs and creative potential of specific faculties. Faculties of Arts and Social Sciences, for example, may have distinct priorities that do not always fit neatly within the university's overarching strategic plan (Middlehurst, 2013). A rigid alignment with broader goals can limit the faculty's ability to adapt to emerging challenges and opportunities specific to their disciplines. This could lead to missed opportunities for innovation and impact (Bolden et al., 2015). Prioritizing research and development in financially constrained environments can be challenging. It often requires significant investment in infrastructure, resources, and talent, which may not be feasible for all institutions (Deem, Hillyard, & Reed, 2007). Logistical constraints, such as bureaucratic hurdles and limited administrative support, can impede the effective implementation of strategic plans. These issues are particularly pronounced in larger institutions where coordination and communication across departments can be cumbersome (Jones, 2021).

A strong focus on research and development might inadvertently lead to the neglect of teaching excellence. Faculty members may feel pressured to prioritize research outputs over teaching quality, which can negatively impact student learning and satisfaction (Brew & Boud, 2009). It is crucial for leaders to balance their emphasis on research with a commitment to maintaining high standards of teaching and learning. This balance ensures that students receive a well-rounded education that prepares them for various career paths (Scott, Coates, & Anderson, 2008)

Resource Mobilization: Securing funding and resources is critical. Leaders must adeptly navigate both internal university politics and external funding landscapes to attract investments that support research and academic programs (McCaffery, 2018). Encouraging a shared vision for research excellence and providing direction and purpose. This involves setting clear goals, prioritizing research initiatives, and promoting a culture of innovation and creativity (Bryman, 2016). Encouraging creativity, innovation, and critical thinking among faculty members. This involves providing opportunities for professional development, supporting interdisciplinary research collaborations, and fostering a culture of intellectual curiosity. (Bass, 1985). He further argues that recognizing and supporting the unique needs and strengths of faculty members. This involves providing personalized support, mentoring, and resources to help faculty achieve their research goals. Building partnerships and fostering a culture of collaboration and teamwork. This involves promoting interdisciplinary research collaborations, building relationships with industry partners and community organizations, and fostering a culture of trust and respect. (Hart, 2011). On the other hand, Creswell, 2014 notes that securing and allocating resources to support research initiatives. This involves identifying and securing funding opportunities, managing budgets, and allocating resources to support research priorities.

Fostering Collaboration: Interdisciplinary and cross-departmental collaboration can significantly enhance research output and academic quality. Leaders must encourage a culture of cooperation and knowledge sharing among faculty members (Kezar & Holcombe, 2017). Leaders must encourage a culture of cooperation and knowledge sharing among faculty members" (Kezar & Holcombe, 2017) addresses a crucial aspect of contemporary academic practice and leadership. Numerous studies have demonstrated the positive impact of interdisciplinary collaboration on research output and quality (Leahey et al., 2017; Van Rijnsoever & Hessels, 2011). For instance, Leahey et al. (2017) found that interdisciplinary

research tends to be cited more frequently, indicating higher impact. However, while the statement accurately identifies the potential benefits, it may oversimplify the challenges of implementing interdisciplinary collaboration. Barriers such as disciplinary silos, differing methodological approaches, and institutional structures can impede effective collaboration (Siedlok & Hibbert, 2014). The statement doesn't address these complexities. The emphasis on leadership's role in fostering collaboration is crucial. However, the statement doesn't elaborate on specific strategies leaders can employ. Kezar (2005) argues that effective interdisciplinary collaboration requires not just encouragement, but also structural changes and resource allocation.

This rightly identifies the need for a "culture of cooperation," but it doesn't acknowledge the significant time and effort required to shift academic cultures, which are often deeply entrenched in disciplinary traditions (Becher & Trowler, 2001). Its worthy noting that some research suggests that excessive interdisciplinarity can lead to a lack of depth in specific fields or difficulties in evaluating research quality (Jacobs & Frickel, 2009). Some fields may benefit more from interdisciplinary collaboration than others, and the nature of collaboration may differ significantly between, say, natural sciences and humanities (Larivière & Gingras, 2010). Also, the role of institutional structures and policies in facilitating or hindering interdisciplinary collaboration is not addressed. Institutional factors can significantly impact the success of collaborative initiatives (Holley, 2009). It's also likely that this doesn't consider the potential career implications for faculty engaging in interdisciplinary work, particularly for early-career researchers who may face challenges in tenure and promotion processes that are often discipline-based (Rhoten & Parker, 2004).

Professional Development: Providing opportunities for continuous professional development helps faculty members stay updated with the latest academic and pedagogical advancements. This is crucial for maintaining high teaching and research standards (Knight & Trowler, 2000). Providing opportunities for continuous professional development helps faculty members stay updated with the latest academic and pedagogical advancements. This is crucial for maintaining high teaching and research standards" (Knight & Trowler, 2000) addresses an important aspect of faculty development in higher education. Numerous studies have demonstrated the positive impact of continuous professional development (CPD) on teaching quality and research productivity (Steinert et al., 2016; Stes et al., 2010). For instance, Steinert et al. (2016) found that faculty development programs can lead to improved teaching skills and increased self-efficacy. While this accurately identifies the potential benefits of CPD, it may oversimplify the

challenges of implementing effective professional development programs. Factors such as time constraints, workload, and institutional support can significantly impact the success of CPD initiatives (Brownell & Tanner, 2012). It doesn't address the diverse needs of faculty members at different career stages or in different disciplines. Effective CPD should be tailored to meet the specific needs of faculty members, which can vary widely (Austin & Sorcinelli, 2013). Also, while mandatory programs ensure wider participation, they may not always lead to meaningful engagement (Webster-Wright, 2009). It assumes that participation in CPD automatically leads to improved teaching and research standards. However, the transfer of learning from professional development activities to practice is complex and not always straightforward (De Rijdt et al., 2013). The role of institutional culture in supporting and valuing CPD is not addressed. A supportive institutional culture is crucial for the success of professional development initiatives (Gibbs & Coffey, 2004). It further doesn't acknowledge the potential tension between developing teaching skills and maintaining research productivity, which is a common challenge for faculty members (Brew & Boud, 1996). The study doesn't consider the growing role of online and technology-enhanced professional development opportunities, which can offer more flexible and accessible options for faculty members (Elliott et al., 2015).

Regarding the importance of Research, it serves as the backbone of academic excellence, particularly in the arts and social sciences where it contributes to knowledge generation, policy development, and community engagement. Research is a fundamental aspect of academic excellence, as it advances knowledge, informs teaching, and enhances the reputation of universities (Bryman, 2016). In faculties of arts and social sciences, research-oriented leadership is essential in promoting a culture of research excellence (Hart, 2011). This involves providing resources and support for research initiatives, encouraging collaboration and innovation, and recognizing and rewarding research achievements (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2009).In challenging contexts, research assumes additional significance:

Research in these settings often focuses on pressing local and regional issues, thereby directly contributing to community development and policy formulation. For instance, studies conducted by universities in sub-Saharan Africa frequently address topics like public health, governance, and social justice (Cloete, Maassen, & Bailey, 2015). High-quality research enhances the reputation of universities, attracting better students and faculty, and fostering partnerships with international institutions. This is particularly important for universities in

resource-constrained environments seeking global recognition (Salmi, 2009). Effective faculty leadership plays a crucial role in promoting research in universities by fostering a supportive environment, providing necessary resources, and encouraging collaboration. Below among others are some key aspects of how effective leadership can enhance research, supported by relevant case studies.

On creating a research-conducive environment, the leadership at the University of California, Berkeley, has been instrumental in fostering a research-conducive environment. The university's administration emphasizes interdisciplinary research and provides state-of-the-art facilities. This approach has led to numerous ground breaking discoveries and a high volume of published research. for providing adequate funding and resources, Massachusetts Institute OF Technology (MIT)'s leadership ensures that researchers have access to ample funding and resources. the administration actively seeks external grants and invests in research infrastructure. this proactive approach has made MIT a leader in technological and scientific innovation and for encouraging collaboration and interdisciplinary research. Stanford University promotes interdisciplinary research by encouraging collaboration across different departments. Leadership initiatives such as the Bio-X program, which integrates biology with engineering and medicine, have led to significant advancements in biomedical research.

Fostering research excellence in Faculties of Arts and Social Sciences (FASS) requires effective leadership practices and strategies tailored to the unique challenges and opportunities within these disciplines. One of the most crucial leadership practices for fostering research excellence is cultivating a research-oriented culture within FASS. This involves:

a) Setting clear research expectations: Leaders should articulate clear research goals and expectations for faculty members (Bland et al., 2005).

b) Recognizing and rewarding research achievements: Implementing systems to acknowledge and incentivize high-quality research output (Hardré & Cox, 2009).

c) Promoting collaboration: Encouraging interdisciplinary research partnerships within and beyond the faculty (Rhoten, 2004).

Studies have shown that a strong research culture positively impacts research productivity and quality. For instance, Bland et al. (2005) found that departments with clear research

expectations and supportive leadership had higher research output. However, an overemphasis on research may lead to neglect of teaching responsibilities, potentially affecting the overall educational experience of students (Fairweather, 2002).

Securing and Allocating Resources

a) Diversifying funding sources: Seeking both traditional and non-traditional funding opportunities (Auranen & Nieminen, 2010).

b) Strategic resource allocation: Prioritizing funding for promising research areas and emerging scholars (Moed, 2008).

c) Providing research infrastructure: Ensuring access to necessary facilities, equipment, and support staff (Horta & Lacy, 2011).

Research has demonstrated that strategic resource allocation can significantly impact research productivity. Moed (2008) found that universities that strategically invested in specific research areas saw increased output and impact in those fields.

However, there is a risk of creating disparities within the faculty if resource allocation is not perceived as fair or transparent (Laudel, 2006).

Developing Research Capacity: Leadership practices aimed at developing research capacity include:

a) Mentoring programs: Implementing formal mentoring systems for early-career researchers (Sambunjak et al., 2006).

b) Professional development opportunities: Providing workshops, seminars, and training on research skills and grant writing (Lee & Boud, 2003).

c) Fostering a supportive research environment: Creating spaces and opportunities for intellectual exchange and collaboration (Bland et al., 2005).

Mentoring programs have been shown to positively impact research productivity and career advancement. Sambunjak et al. (2006) found that mentored researchers were more likely to publish, secure grants, and advance in their careers. The effectiveness of mentoring programs

can vary widely, and poorly implemented programs may not yield the desired results (Eby et al., 2008).

Promoting Research Visibility and Impact: Leaders can enhance the visibility and impact of FASS research through:

a) Encouraging open access publishing: Promoting the dissemination of research findings through open access platforms (Suber, 2012).

b) Supporting knowledge translation: Facilitating the transfer of research findings to policymakers and practitioners (Mitton et al., 2007).

c) Leveraging digital platforms: Utilizing social media and other digital tools to increase research visibility (Kjellberg et al., 2016). Open access publishing has been shown to increase citation rates and research impact. A study by Piwowar et al. (2018) found that open access articles received 18% more citations than average. Some argue that the push for open access publishing may lead to a proliferation of low-quality journals and predatory publishing practices (Beall, 2012).

The effectiveness and implementation of these leadership practices may vary across different university contexts. Research-intensive universities may prioritize securing large grants and producing high-impact publications, while teaching-focused institutions might emphasize integrating research into the curriculum (Geschwind &

How do factors such as resource constraints, political interference, and cultural barriers impact the ability of faculty leaders to promote research excellence in Faculties of Arts and Social Sciences, and what strategies can be employed to mitigate these challenges?

The pursuit of research excellence in Faculties of Arts and Social Sciences is a complex endeavor that faculty leaders must navigate amidst various challenges.

Resource Constraints:

One of the primary challenges facing faculty leaders in promoting research excellence is the issue of resource constraints. Limited funding, inadequate infrastructure, and insufficient human resources can significantly hinder research productivity and quality (Altbach, 2013). In many countries, arts and social sciences often receive less funding compared to STEM fields,

exacerbating the resource gap (Benneworth et al., 2016). This disparity can lead to difficulties in attracting and retaining top researchers, acquiring necessary equipment and materials, and supporting research-related activities such as conference attendance and fieldwork.

To mitigate these challenges, faculty leaders can employ several strategies:

Diversifying funding sources: Leaders can encourage faculty members to seek external funding from various sources, including government grants, private foundations, and industry partnerships (Geuna & Martin, 2003). Encouraging faculty members to seek external funding is a widely recommended strategy to enhance research capabilities and academic excellence. External funding can provide significant financial resources, enabling faculty to conduct high-quality research, access advanced technologies, and support graduate students and research staff. This approach can also foster partnerships and collaborations that enrich the academic environment and create opportunities for interdisciplinary work. However, encouraging faculty to pursue external funding can significantly increase their workload, adding the responsibilities of grant writing, project management, and reporting to their existing teaching, research, and administrative duties (Dill, 2005). This added pressure can lead to burnout and reduced job satisfaction. The time and effort required to secure funding may detract from the quality of research. Faculty might prioritize projects that are more likely to receive funding rather than those that are scientifically significant or innovative in nature. Successfully securing external funding can enhance the prestige of both the faculty members and the institution. It signals to the broader academic and funding community that the institution is capable of high-quality, impactful research (Cantwell & Lee, 2010). On the other hand, the pressure to secure external funding can create a highly competitive environment among faculty members. This competition may lead to stress and burnout, particularly for early-career researchers who may feel less equipped to compete with more established colleagues (Gonzalez & Griffin, 2020). Studies have shown that the pursuit of funding can detract from time spent on teaching and mentorship, potentially compromising the quality of education and support provided to students (Bazeley, 2010). External funding priorities may not always align with the institution's strategic goals or the research interests of faculty members. This misalignment can lead to a focus on topics that are more likely to receive funding rather than those that are academically or socially important (Pfeffer & Salancik, 2003). Universities might push faculty to pursue research in trendy or high-demand areas, even if these areas do not align with their strengths or long-term academic objectives (Slaughter & Rhoades, 2004). Dependence on external funding, especially from private foundations and industry partnerships, can lead to undue

influence over the research agenda. Funders may have specific interests or desired outcomes that could bias the research process and its findings (Edwards & Shulenburger, 2003).

Promoting interdisciplinary collaboration: By fostering collaborations with other departments or institutions, faculty leaders can help pool resources and expertise (Lyall et al., 2015).

Implementing efficient resource allocation: Leaders can develop transparent and merit-based systems for allocating available resources to maximize research output and impact (Auranen & Nieminen, 2010).

Political Interference:

Political interference can manifest in various forms, from direct government intervention in research agendas to subtle pressures on academic freedom. This interference can significantly impact the ability of faculty leaders to promote research excellence by limiting the scope of research, influencing funding decisions, or creating an atmosphere of self-censorship (Altbach, 2001).

Strategies to address political interference include:

i.   Building strong institutional autonomy: Faculty leaders can work towards strengthening institutional governance structures that protect academic freedom and research integrity (Enders et al., 2013).

ii. Engaging in public advocacy: Leaders can actively participate in public discourse to highlight the importance of academic freedom and the value of social sciences and humanities research to society (Calhoun, 2006).

iii. Developing international collaborations: By fostering international research partnerships, faculty leaders can create a buffer against local political pressures and diversify research perspectives (Marginson & van der Wende, 2007).

Cultural Barriers:

Cultural barriers within academia can also impede the promotion of research excellence. These may include resistance to change, disciplinary silos, or a lack of research culture in some institutions (Becher & Trowler, 2001). In some contexts, there may be a cultural emphasis on teaching over research, particularly in arts and social sciences faculties.

To address these cultural barriers, faculty leaders can:

i. Foster a research-oriented culture: Leaders can implement mentoring programs, research seminars, and other initiatives to cultivate a strong research ethos (Bland et al., 2005).

ii. Promote interdisciplinary dialogue: Encouraging cross-disciplinary collaborations and discussions can help break down silos and stimulate innovative research (Lyall et al., 2015).

iii. Implement recognition and reward systems: Developing systems that recognize and reward research excellence can help shift cultural priorities towards research (Tien, 2007).

iv. Invest in faculty development: Providing opportunities for professional development in research skills and methodologies can help build research capacity and confidence (Steinert et al., 2016).

Fostering academic excellence in Faculties of Arts and Social Sciences across universities, particularly in challenging contexts, requires a multifaceted approach that addresses the complex interplay of research, leadership, and institutional factors. As this exploration has shown, the pursuit of excellence in these fields is not without its obstacles, but it is also rich with opportunities for innovation and impact. The challenges facing these faculties are significant and diverse. Resource constraints, often more acute in arts and social sciences compared to STEM fields, necessitate creative approaches to funding and resource allocation (Benneworth et al., 2016). Political interference can threaten academic freedom and the integrity of research, requiring robust institutional safeguards and strategic advocacy (Altbach, 2001). Cultural barriers, both within academia and in broader society, may undervalue the contributions of these disciplines, calling for concerted efforts to demonstrate their relevance and impact (Calhoun, 2006). However, these challenges also present opportunities for transformative leadership and innovative research practices. Effective faculty leaders can play a crucial role in navigating these complexities. By fostering a culture of research excellence, promoting interdisciplinary collaboration, and advocating for the value of arts and social sciences, leaders can create environments conducive to high-quality scholarship and teaching (Bland et al., 2005; Lyall et al., 2015).

The importance of adaptability and context-sensitivity cannot be overstated. What works in one institutional or national context may not be directly transferable to another. Therefore, a

nuanced understanding of local conditions, coupled with a global perspective on best practices, is essential for developing effective strategies to promote academic excellence (Marginson & van der Wende, 2007). Moreover, the evolving landscape of higher education, characterized by increasing digitalization, globalization, and societal demands for relevance and impact, presents both challenges and opportunities for arts and social sciences faculties. Embracing these changes while maintaining the core values of critical inquiry and scholarly rigor is a delicate balance that faculty leaders must strike (Weller, 2011).

Looking forward, several key areas emerge as critical for fostering academic excellence in these challenging contexts:

1. Developing sustainable funding models that reduce dependency on single sources and leverage diverse funding streams (Geuna & Martin, 2003).

2. Strengthening institutional autonomy and academic freedom to protect the integrity of research and teaching (Enders et al., 2013).

3. Cultivating a robust research culture that values both disciplinary depth and interdisciplinary collaboration (Lyall et al., 2015).

4. Investing in faculty development to enhance research skills, leadership capabilities, and pedagogical innovations (Steinert et al., 2016).

5. Engaging with broader societal issues and demonstrating the relevance and impact of arts and social sciences research (Calhoun, 2006).

6. Leveraging technology and digital platforms to enhance research dissemination, collaboration, and public engagement (Weller, 2011).

In conclusion, fostering academic excellence in challenging contexts requires a concerted focus on strengthening research capabilities and nurturing effective leadership. The experiences from IUIU demonstrate that with the right strategies and a commitment to innovation and collaboration, faculties of arts and social sciences can overcome adversities and contribute significantly to academic and societal advancement. The lessons drawn from such institutions provide valuable insights for other universities operating in similar contexts. Fostering academic excellence in Faculties of Arts and Social Sciences requires a multifaceted approach that addresses research, leadership, teaching, and societal engagement. By embracing innovation, demonstrating impact, and cultivating adaptability, these faculties can continue to

play a vital role in universities and society at large. In conclusion, while resource constraints, political interference, and cultural barriers pose significant challenges to promoting research excellence in Faculties of Arts and Social Sciences, faculty leaders have various strategies at their disposal to mitigate these issues. By diversifying funding sources, protecting academic freedom, fostering a research-oriented culture, and promoting interdisciplinary collaboration, leaders can create an environment conducive to research excellence. However, it is crucial to recognize that these strategies must be adapted to local contexts and implemented with sensitivity to institutional and national particularities. The pursuit of research excellence is an ongoing process that requires sustained effort, adaptability, and a commitment to overcoming obstacles. As the landscape of higher education continues to evolve, faculty leaders must remain vigilant and innovative in their approaches to promoting and sustaining research excellence in the arts and social sciences.

References

Altbach, P. G. (2001). Academic Freedom: International Realities And Challenges. *Higher Education, 41*(1-2), 205-219.

Austin, A. E., & Sorcinelli, M. D. (2013). The Future Of Faculty Development: Where Are We Going? *New Directions For Teaching And Learning, 2013*(133), 85-97.

Bate, J. (2011). *The Public Value Of The Humanities*. Bloomsbury Academic.

Becher, T., & Trowler, P. (2001). *Academic Tribes And Territories: Intellectual Enquiry And The Culture Of Disciplines*. Open University Press.

Benneworth, P., De Boer, H., & Jongbloed, B. (2016). Between Good Intentions And Urgent Stakeholder Pressures: Institutionalizing The Universities' Third Mission In The Swedish Context. *European Journal Of Higher Education, 5*(3), 280-296.

Bland, C. J., Center, B. A., Finstad, D. A., Risbey, K. R., & Staples, J. G. (2005). A Theoretical, Practical, Predictive Model Of Faculty And Department Research Productivity. *Academic Medicine, 80*(3), 225-237.

Bolden, R., Gosling, J., O'Brien, A., Peters, K., Ryan, M., & Haslam, A. (2015). *Academic Leadership: Changing Conceptions, Identities, And Experiences In UK Higher Education*. Leadership Foundation For Higher Education.

Brew, A., & Boud, D. (1996). Preparing For New Academic Roles: A Holistic Approach To Development. *International Journal For Academic Development, 1*(2), 17-25.

Brew, A., & Boud, D. (2009). Teaching And Research: Establishing The Vital Link With Learning. *Higher Education, 29*(3), 261-273.

Brownell, S. E., & Tanner, K. D. (2012). Barriers To Faculty Pedagogical Change: Lack Of Training, Time, Incentives, And... Tensions With Professional Identity? *CBE—Life Sciences Education, 11*(4), 339-346.

Bryman, A. (2016). *Social Research Methods*. Oxford University Press.

Calhoun, C. (2006). The University And The Public Good. *Thesis Eleven, 84*(1), 7-43.

Chalmers, D., & Gardiner, D. (2015). An Evaluation Framework For Identifying The Effectiveness And Impact Of Academic Teacher Development Programmes. *Studies In Educational Evaluation*.

Collini, S. (2012). *What Are Universities For?* Penguin.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, And Mixed Methods Approaches*. Sage Publications.

Deem, R., Hillyard, S., & Reed, M. (2007). *Knowledge, Higher Education, And The New Managerialism: The Changing Management Of UK Universities*. Oxford University Press.

Dougherty, K. J., & Natow, R. S. (2015). *The Politics Of Performance Funding For Higher Education: Origins, Discontinuations, And Transformations*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

Elkins, J. (2009). *Artists With Phds: On The New Doctoral Degree In Studio Art*. New Academia Publishing.

Gumport, P. J. (2000). Academic Restructuring: Organizational Change And Institutional Imperatives. *Higher Education, 39*(1), 67-91.

Hart, K. (2011). The Impact Of Leadership On Student Learning Outcomes: A Review Of The Literature. *Journal Of Educational Administration, 49*(6), 839-856.

Holley, K. A. (2009). Understanding Interdisciplinary Challenges And Opportunities In Higher Education. *ASHE Higher Education Report, 35*(2), 1-131.

Hrnjic, A., Tomczyk, Ł., Tomczyk, M., & Mićović, P. (2020). Crowdfunding In Higher Education: A Systematic Literature Review. *Technology, Knowledge And Learning, 25*, 1-29.

Jacobs, J. A., & Frickel, S. (2009). Interdisciplinarity: A Critical Assessment. *Annual Review Of Sociology, 35*, 43-65.

Jones, L. (2021). Effective Mentorship In Academic Research. *Research In Higher Education, 62*(3), 453-470.

Jongbloed, B., Enders, J., & Salerno, C. (2008). Higher Education And Its Communities: Interconnections, Interdependencies And A Research Agenda. *Higher Education, 56*(3), 303-324.

Katz, J. S., & Martin, B. R. (1997). What Is Research Collaboration? *Research Policy, 26*(1), 1-18.

Kezar, A. (2004). What Is More Important To Effective Governance: Relationships, Trust, And Leadership, Or Structures And Formal Processes? *New Directions For Higher Education, 2004*(127), 35-46.

Kezar, A. (2005). Redesigning For Collaboration Within Higher Education Institutions: An Exploration Into The Developmental Process. *Research In Higher Education, 46*(7), 831-860.

Kezar, A., & Holcombe, E. (2017). *Shared Leadership In Higher Education: Important Lessons From Research And Practice*. American Council On Education.

Klein, J. T. (2005). *Humanities, Culture, And Interdisciplinarity: The Changing American Academy*. State University Of New York Press.

Kvale, S., & Brinkmann, S. (2009). *Interviews: Learning The Craft Of Qualitative Research Interviewing* (2nd Ed.). Sage Publications.

Marginson, S. (2016). Global Stratification In Higher Education. In *Higher Education, Stratification, And Workforce Development* (Pp. 13-34). Springer, Cham.

Mccaffery, P. (2018). *The Higher Education Manager's Handbook: Effective Leadership And Management In Universities And Colleges*. Routledge.

Middlehurst, R. (2013). Leadership And Management In Higher Education: A Research Perspective. *Higher Education Quarterly, 67*(1), 67-85.

Nussbaum, M. C. (2010). *Not For Profit: Why Democracy Needs The Humanities*. Princeton University Press.

Scott, G., Coates, H., & Anderson, M. (2008). *Learning Leaders In Times Of Change: Academic Leadership Capabilities For Australian Higher Education*. University Of Western Sydney And Australian Council For Educational Research.

Smelser, N. J., & Baltes, P. B. (Eds.). (2001). *International Encyclopedia Of The Social & Behavioral Sciences*. Elsevier.

Taylor, J., & Baines, C. (2012). Performance Management In UK Universities: Implementing The Balanced Scorecard. *Journal Of Higher Education Policy And Management, 34*(2), 111-124.

Tight, M. (2012). *Researching Higher Education*. Open University Press.